Quality Control of Concrete in Less Technically Developed Sites: Cast Study

> Hisham Qasrawi Civil Engineering, The Hashemite University

Jamal Qtaishat Ministry of Public Works and Housing

Imad Abed-Al-Rahim Project Director, King Abdul Azziz International Airport

Some Observations



Some Observations





Some Observations



See how beautiful the sky is



Repair of a column

The dirty column!



Repair of a column

Mix water with something, say cement

The final nice product!

NOBODY SAW THE DIRTY ONE



Foundations Or like that



Foundations Filling and embankment



Materials Don't bother, the worker uses the correct size



Slabs

Nice sun beneath slab formwork



Retaining walls Some reinforcement!



Retaining walls More stones!



Columns Don't know what to say!



Introduction to Quality Control

Strength, durability and serviceability



Major Process of Quality Control



Major Process of Quality Control

- The ascending period, in which concrete strength increases and this indicates higher values than required and economy may not be attained.
- The constant period which indicates constant quality control. This is the behavior the engineer should aim to.
- The declining period which indicates lower quality control and possibly failure to attain the required quality.

Major Problems of Quality Control in Less Developed Countries

- Lack of Genuine Specifications and Standards
- Lack of communication between Academic Institutions and the Industry
- Lack of Technology
- Lack of Well-Trained and Experienced Workmanship

Major Problems of Quality Control in Less Developed Countries

- Lack of Supervision
- Incorrect Production Practices
- Lack of Testing and Evaluation
- The Role of the Consultant and the Contractor
- Competition, Tendering, Costs and Benefits

Examples

Results of Strength Testing During the Progress of Work

Time of Sampling	During First	After Three	During Last
	Hour	Hours	Hour
Compressive Strength	27.4 MPa	29.1 MPa	33.7 MPa
Standard Deviation	3.34 MPa	4.27 MPa	5.97 MPa
Range (Max	30.7 – 24.6	34.7 – 25.2	40.8 – 29.3
Min.)	MPa	MPa	MPa

Quality Control During Construction Workability

Degree of Workability	Slump (mm) tested as	Compliance
	to ASTM C134	Requirements (Author)
Very low	<20	Needs further testeng
		Example (V.B. test)
Low	30 - 50	- 20 to +30 mm
Medium	80 - 100	± 30 mm
High	120 - 150	- 40 mm to + 30 mm
Very high	>180	Needs testeng for
		segregation
		Example (flow table)

Quality Control During Construction Density

Fresh Density

- ± 2.5 % for ready mixed concrete and
- ± 3.5 % for cast on-place concrete

Hardened Density

- ± 4 % of the average measured density for ready mixed concrete and
- ± 6 % of the average measured density for concrete mixed in-situ

Quality Control During Construction Air Content

Non-air Entrained

± 1 % apart of the expected value.

Air Entrained

± 1 % apart of the expected value, but depends on the severity of the frost effect.

Example (Case Study) Mix Design

Plasticizer is used

Water was checked for workability and min. possible was used

Slump	Cement (min)	Free w/c ratio	Required strength	Design Strength
$100 \mathrm{mm}$	350kg/m ³	0.48	25MPa	38MP a.
10011111		0110		

Strength



Strength



Strength



Recommendations

 Suggested Degree of Quality Control as Assessed from Standard Deviation (Ready Mixed Concrete and Batch Plant Concrete)

Quality Control	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Fair	Bad	Unacceptabl e
Standard Deviation	< 2.5 MPa	2.5 – 3.5 MPa	3.5 – 4.5 MPa	4.5 – 5.5 MPa	5.5 — 6.5 MPa	> 6.5 MPa
Coefficient of Varaiation (%)	< 8.5	8.5 – 11.5	11.5 – 15	15 — 18.5	18.5 – 22	> 22

Recommendations

Suggested Degree of Quality Control as Assessed from Standard Deviation (Concrete Mixed and Cast at Site)

Quality Control	Expected Site Description and Condition of Work	Expected Standard Deviation
Excellent	Graded aggregate from different sizes mixed properly according to spesifications, experienced engineers, continuous supervision, testing and evaluation, experienced workmanship, (weight batching).	< 3.5 MPa
Very Good	Graded aggregate from different sizes, continuous supervision, experienced workmanship, frequent testing and evaluation, (weight batching).	3.5 - 4.5 MPa
Good	Graded aggregate from different sizes, intermittent supervision or less experienced workmanship and less equipment.	4.5 – 5.5 MPa
Fair	Graded aggregate from at least two sizes, intermittent supervision, less experienced workmanship, volume batching.	5.5 - 7.0 MPa
Bad	Volume batching, no supervision, water is left to the technicians, unexperienced workmanship.	7.0 – 8.5 MPa
Unacceptable	Volume batching, no supervision, water is left to the technicians, unexperienced workmanship.	>8.5 MPa